

# The Final Frontier Assessment

## RUBRIC

Proposal Description			
1 Description of proposal is not provided or addressed.	2 Description of proposal is provided, but not expressed in a manner that is clear and easily understandable.	3 Description of proposal is provided in clear and understandable manner, but lacks some thoroughness	4 Description of proposal is clear, understandable, thorough, and reinforced throughout presentation.
Evidence and Argument			
1 Evidence is not provided.	2 Some evidence is provided, but not explained or shown to be supportive of the proposal.	3 Evidence is explained, but not used as effectively as possible to support argument for the proposal.	4 Evidence is explained and used admirably throughout presentation to support the proposal.
Main Points and Organization			
1 Main points are not provided.	2 Main points are provided, but not organized.	3 Main points are provided and organized, but sometimes lost in the presentation.	4 Main points are clear, concise, and supported throughout presentation.
Proposal Merit			
1 Proposal does not provide information that solves the problem with decreased funding.	2 Proposal provides some information that only partially addresses the problem with a decrease in funding.	3 Proposal provides data and information, but does not allow for comparison between existing missions and future projects.	4 Proposal provides information that answers all of the Senators questions, can be tied to existing data, allows for comparisons, and complements present missions and future projects and research.
Public Support			
1 Proposal portrays potential negative public impact, societal or otherwise, and no information is provided to allay this impression.	2 Public support is not directly sought, nor is the question of societal impact raised or implied.	3 Desire for public support is implied but not cultivated with definitive information; simple statement is made regarding the lack of potential negative societal impact.	4 Information is provided to promote public support, and relationship of the proposal and potential societal impact are described.

Credibility of Resources			
1 Resources were mostly non- scientific sources, like tabloid newspapers; or all sources were encyclopedias.	2 Some resources were questionable, non- scientific sources; the majority of sources were encyclopedias.	3 Most resources were reliable scientific sources; encyclopedias were used only as first sources for terminology.	4 All resources were reliable scientific sources.
Visual Aids			
1 Visual aids are not provided.	2 Visual aids are provided, but not illustrative of important concepts.	3 Visual aids are well done, and illustrative of important concepts.	4 Visual aids are well done, reinforce important concepts, and effectively reinforce the presentation.
Delivery			
1 Group does not appear prepared to speak.	2 Delivery is systematic, but with annoying mannerisms and no eye contact.	3 Delivery is clean and clear, with some eye contact and very few annoyances.	4 Delivery is exceptional and unique, with regular eye contact and no annoyances.
Additional criteria:			
Additional criteria:			